

FILED - USDC - NH
2022 JUL 6 PM 1:12

United States District Court
District of New Hampshire

AHAUCCI V. MULLEN, ET AL

Case 1:21-cv-00237-LM

Response To Report And Recommendation
dated 07/01/22
Document 25

1. The case is Not Based on a State Claim of Conspiracy, it is the result of the Conspiracy of which this case is based on which is a Conspiracy "IN VIOLATION OF THE PLAINTIFF'S FOURTH AMENDMENT RIGHT OF AN UNLAWFUL SEIZURE WITH NO PROBABLE CAUSE - A CLAIM UNDER 1983"

As in the Conspiracy the defendant's denied that the Plaintiff was arrested and prosecuted for speeding, and where speeding is NOT A CRIME IN N.H. the arrest and prosecution were UNLAWFUL.

2. There is also a Monell claim and a violation of the Speedy Trial claim & 'KIDNAPPING FOR THE DETENTION WITHOUT PROBABLE CAUSE.
3. AS BESIDES THE ARREST & PROSECUTION FOR SPEEDING THE ARREST & PROSECUTION FOR DISOBEYING A POLICE OFFICER IS AN UNLAWFUL SEIZURE UNDER THE VIOLATION OF A Speedy Trial - clause.
4. Therefore the entire procedure & rulings in the UNLAWFUL TRIAL that was held long after the limit of time for the police had to hold a trial - the trial rulings are:
VOID OF NO LEGAL FORCE
5. That she states the ruling of the Trial Court & Judge FINDING her guilty of disobeying is VOID OF NO LEGAL FORCE - AS the TRIAL should never have been held the Statute of Limitations under a Speedy Trial FINDS that the TRIAL WAS VOID. UNLAWFUL - A VIOLATION OF THE LAW

PAGE 1

6. The Plaintiff has no jurisdiction in allowing Mullen Summary Judgment when he:

Trespassed Against her Constitutional Rights Under Fraud on/upon the Court, in case 17-237, when he fraudulently misrepresented all the evidence in Mullen's Summary Judgment where Mullen Admitted the Plaintiff was (unlawfully) Arrested For Speeding;
(2) Evidence in the Case Files in an Affidavit By the Former Stuart Chase Admitting:

Under Oath - That the Plaintiff was a
Arrested & Prosecuted For Speeding

(3) Besides other evidence Before the Plaintiff Before he dismissed the Plaintiff's Summary Judgment, he fraudulently allowed Mullen's Summary Judgment - a Judgment Under Fraud which is Void of No Legal Force.

(4) That it was Not the Conspiracy But the Fraudulent Misrepresentation of the Facts and Law that is the issue in this lawsuit - The Denial of the Arrest and Prosecution Under Fraud - The Denial of the Plaintiff's Violation of the Fourth Amend. of an Unlawful Seizure Under the Fourth Amendment

Without Probable Cause

As Speeding is Not a Crime in New Hampshire

(5) This is Not About a Conspiracy Claim, This is About Result of the Fraud on/upon the Court in Violation of her 4th Amendment Right Under an Unlawful Seizure Without Probable Cause

Where Under the Violation of the Federal Constitution - There is No Immunity

As this is Not a State claim - This is a Federal claim. NOT Under Color of State Law.

MONELL CLAIM

6. The violation of the Plaintiff's rights is based on Monell for liability for Ransheier. And as related to Laplante's version of her liability claim.
7. Under Monell even if under one instance, there is liability when a policymaking official, such as Chase and the prosecutor violate the Plaintiff's civil rights when they violate her federal constitutional rights of an unlawful seizure, when there is no probable cause.
8. A private citizen does in fact have interest in the prosecution of another, where there is no jail time.
9. There is nothing rational about Mr. Laplante's actions, because first of all Laplante was not a judge when he was acting in this case, when he was trespassing against the law of land, when he violated his oath of office - when he committed

FRAUD

10. That's not what Judge's do. Therefore ~~Joe~~ Joe Laplante was not acting as a judge and he therefore under a violation of her Fed. Const. rights he lost jurisdiction as a judge. He has no immunity.
11. He was not acting as a bad judge. He was not acting as a judge he was acting as an individual.
12. My case, my claims are NOT NOT NOT Magistrate Johnson's claims - her claims address a claim of conspiracy - this is not about the conspiracy this is about the conspiracy - the fraud on/upon the court to deny the Plaintiff's 4th Amend. right not to be seized without probable cause.
13. Laplante's decisions are not wrong or improper or corrupt they are void, of no legal force.
14. AGAIN - there is no no no immunity in the violation of a Fed. Const. right - the law of the land under 1983

15. WHEREFORE: THE PLAINTIFF DEMANDS SUMMARY JUDGMENT UNDER THE VIOLATION OF THE 4TH AMEND. UNLAWFUL SEIZURE WITHOUT PROBABLE CAUSE FOR BOTH SPEEDING & DISOBEYING. FOR HER MONETARY CLAIM AGAINST RANSHEIMER & THE VIOLATION OF A SPEEDY TRIAL. ASKING FOR AN EXPEDITIOUS JURY TRIAL OF HER PEERS FOR DAMAGES ONLY.

Respect Fully,

Josephine Gmatucci
JULY 6, 2022

C. TOWN OF WOLFBOURNE
RANSHEIMER